### **Public Document Pack**

### MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE HIGHWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE Council Chamber - Town Hall 10 November 2015 (7.00 - 8.45 pm)

Present:

**COUNCILLORS** 

**Conservative Group** Jason Frost (Chairman), Joshua Chapman,

John Crowder, Dilip Patel and Frederick Thompson

Darren Wise (Vice-Chair) and Linda Hawthorn

**Residents' Group** Barry Mugglestone and John Mylod

**East Havering** Residents' Group

**UKIP** John Glanville

**Independent Residents** 

Group

**David Durant** 

Councillors Viddy Persaud and Melvin Wallace were also present for parts of the meeting.

All decisions were taken with no votes against.

There were three members of the public present for parts of the meeting.

The Chairman reminded Members of the action to be taken in an emergency.

#### 47 **MINUTES**

The minutes of the meeting held on 13 October 2015 were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

#### PROPOSAL TO INTRODUCE PARKING CHARGES IN COUNCIL 48 OWNED PARKS AND OPEN SPACES

The Chairman gave a statement on this matter that explained that this item had been withdrawn from the agenda following clarification that the measures did not involve the use of Traffic Management Orders as they did not have any substantive impact on highways, and thus did not require comments by the Committee.

#### 49 BROOKLANDS CONTROLLED PARKING EXTENSION

The report before Members set out the responses to an informal consultation and the subsequent advertised proposals to extend parking controls into currently unrestricted areas of the Brooklands Ward.

The report stated that officers had developed these parking proposals in conjunction with Ward Councillors with the view that the schemes would better serve the residents and businesses of the area.

The Committee noted that the aim of these proposals were to help improve traffic flow, limit commuter parking and make further parking provisions for parents who drop-off or pick-up their children at Crowlands Primary School.

The Committee noted that approximately 316 letters and plans were delivered to local residents in addition to key stakeholders consulted such as London Buses, Emergency Services and Ward Councillors. Notices were also placed on site detailing the proposals and advertised in the press. At the close of consultation 30 written responses had been received; 10 responses were in favour and 20 against the proposals.

Members also noted that a petition was received from the Chairman of Romford Mosque which included approximately 306 signatures objecting to the proposals and highlighting the negative impact it would have on worshippers at the Mosque.

In accordance with the public participation arrangements the Committee was addressed by a member of the public who was in support of the scheme but sought clarification on the proposed bay parking opposite the restricted parking area. The resident was of the opinion that the bays would lead to insufficient space to manoeuvre for lorries and bigger vehicles.

The Committee was informed that officers had taken into consideration the issues raised by the resident during the consultation and had removed the long term non-resident parking bays from the scheme.

With its agreement Councillor Viddy Persaud addressed the Committee.

Councillor Persaud spoke in support of the proposals stating that Ward Councillors and residents had worked on the schemes and were in favour of the proposals and that the effects of implementation would need to be monitored.

During general debate, a Member sought clarification that there was enough space for a Fire Engine and refuse truck to manoeuvre beside the proposed parking bay in Lessington Avenue.

A Member noted that the proposals had been designed in conjunction with the Ward Councillors and that the scheme did not impact on the footway. A Member was of the opinion that the solution to parking issues in the area should be in accordance with the responses received as the Member was of the view that there was no overwhelming support for the proposals.

By a vote of 10 in favour to 1 against the Committee RESOLVED:

- 1. To recommend to the Cabinet Member for Environment that the proposals as shown on the drawings appended to the report be implemented as follows:
  - (a) That the proposals in Lonsdale Avenue, be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored for a period of 6 months, reporting back to the Committee with any further recommendations;
  - **(b)** That the proposals that the zone should be extended along Lessington Avenue to the end of the existing restrictions at its junction with Derby Avenue be implemented;
  - **(c)** That the proposals in Jubilee Avenue be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored for a period of 6 months, officers reporting back to the Committee with any further recommendations
  - (d) That the proposals in Derby Avenue be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored for a period of 6 months, officers reporting back to this committee with any further recommendations.
  - **(e)** That the proposals in Burlington Avenue be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored for a period of 6 months, officers reporting back to the Committee with any further recommendations.
  - (f) That the proposals in Astor Avenue be implemented as advertised and the effects of implementation be monitored for a period of 6 months, officers reporting back to the Committee with any further recommendations.
- 2. That the effects of these implementation be monitored
- 3. It be noted that the estimate cost of £6,000 for implementation would be met from the 2015/16 Minor Parking Schemes budget.

### 50 LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN - ANNUAL SPENDING SUBMISSION PROCESS - REPORT FOR NOTING

Further to a request from a member of the Committee that sought clarification on how the Council's annual Local Implementation Plan Funding Submission was produced, the Committee received and noted the content of the Local Implementation Plan Funding report.

The Committee requested that a presentation on this issue be arranged for a future meeting.

### 51 DAGNAM PARK DRIVE ACCIDENT REDUCTION PROGRAMME - PROPOSED 20 MPH ZONE AND SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee considered the report and the representations and without debate **RESOLVED** to:

- 1. Recommend to the Cabinet Member for Environment that the safety improvements as detailed and shown on the relevant drawings be implemented as follows:
  - (a) Dagnam Park Drive between North Hill Drive and Whitchurch Road (Plan Nos: Q0005/1 and Q0005/2)
    - 20mph zone
    - 20mph roundels road markings at various locations as shown.
  - (b) Dagnam Park Drive outside property Nos. 350/352)(Plan No:QO005/1) Speed table.
  - (c) Dagnam Park Drive outside Brookside Primary Schools (Plan No:QO005/1)
    - Raised zebra crossing with illuminated beacon posts
  - (d) Dagnam Park Drive east of Chudleigh Road (Plan No:QO005/1)
    - Speed Table
  - (e) Dagnam Park Drive east and west of Whitchurch Road (Plan No:QO005/2)
    - Speed cushions
- 2. Noted that the estimated costs of £85,000, would be met from the Transport for London's (TfL) 2015/16 Local Implementation Plan allocation for Accident Reduction Programme.

### 52 TPC 734 - WILSON CLOSE & GAYNES ROAD. NO LOADING RESTRICTIONS

The Committee considered the report and the representations and without debate **RESOLVED** to recommend to the Cabinet Member for Environment that:

- a. The proposals as shown on the plan appended to the report be implemented as advertised, with a small reduction of the waiting and loading ban to the eastern boundary of No.2 Gaynes Road.
- b. The effect of the proposals be monitored.
- c. It be noted that the estimated cost for the current proposals in Wilson Close and Gaynes Road as set out in the report was £2,000 which would be met from the 2015/16 Minor Parking Schemes budget.

#### 53 HIGHWAYS SCHEMES APPLICATION - WORKS PROGRAMME

The Committee considered a report showing all the new highway scheme requests in order for a decision to be made on whether the scheme should progress or not before resources were expended on detailed design and consultation.

The Committee had considered and agreed in principle the schedule that detailed the applications received by the service.

The Committee's decisions were noted as against each request and are appended to the minutes.

#### 54 TRAFFIC AND PARKING SCHEMES REQUEST

The report before the Committee detailed all Minor Traffic and Parking Scheme application requests in order for a decision to be made on whether the scheme should progress or not before resources were expended on detailed design and consultation.

The Committee had considered and agreed in principle the schedule that detailed the applications received by the service.

The Committee's decisions were noted as against each request and are appended to the minutes.

#### 55 **URGENT BUSINESS**

The Committee noted a request from a Member who had asked for a monthly schedule of schemes progress. In response Highways and Parking officers stated that there would be resource issues in order to undertake this request.

Members commented on the heavy traffic around the newly opened KFC Restaurant at Gallows Corner. In response, the Committee was informed

#### Highways Advisory Committee, 10 November 2015

that Highways and Planning officers were monitoring the effect of the establishment on traffic in the area.

A Member raised the issue of the request to relocate a bus stop away from the Rainham Garden Wall. In response, officers stated that this was a matter for TFL/London Buses to consider and Members may want to channel the request through the London Assembly Member for Havering & Redbridge.

| Chairman |
|----------|

| Item<br>Ref         | Location  | Ward         | Description  | Decision  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---|--------------|--|-----------|--|--|--|
| SECT                | SECTION A - Highway scheme proposals with funding in place                      |              |  |           |  |  |  |
| A1                  | The Brewery   | Romford Town | Traffic Management Orders associated with redevelopment of bus station in connection with P1120.14               | AGREED    |  |  |  |
| Page 1 <sup>2</sup> | Sainsbury's<br>development,<br>Suttons Lane                                     | Hacton       | Traffic Management<br>Orders associated with<br>creation of loading bay/<br>layby in connection with<br>P1357.13 | AGREED    |  |  |  |
| SECT                | SECTION B - Highway scheme proposals without funding available                  |              |  |           |  |  |  |
| B1                  | Lodge Avenue  | Romford Town | 20mph Zone   | WITHDRAWN |  |  |  |
| SECT                | SECTION C - Highway scheme proposals on hold for future discussion (for Noting) |              |  |           |  |  |  |

| Item<br>Ref | Location   | Ward                                 | Description   | Decision   |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| C1          | Broxhill Road,<br>Havering-atte-<br>Bower                      | Havering Park                        | Widening of existing and extension of footway from junction with North Road to Bedfords Park plus creation of bridleway behind. | Feasible, but not funded. Improved footway would improve subjective safety of pedestrians walking from Village core to park. (H4, August 2014)   |
| Pageိ2      | Finucane<br>Gardens, near<br>junction with<br>Penrith Crescent | Elm Park                             | Width restriction and road humps to reduce traffic speeds of ratrunning between Wood Lane and Mungo Park Road.                  | Feasible, but not funded.  |
| C3          | A124/ Hacton<br>Lane/ Wingletye<br>Lane junction               | Cranham, Emerson<br>Park, St Andrews | Provision of "green man" crossing stage on all 4 arms of the junction.  | Feasible, but not funded. Additional stage would lead to extended vehicle queues on approaches to junction. Current layout is difficult for pedestrians to cross and is subjectively unsafe. Pedestrian demand would only trigger if demand called and would give priority to pedestrians. |

| Item<br>Ref         | Location  | Ward                               | Description  | Decision  |
|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---|
| C4                  | Havering Road/<br>Mashiters Hill/<br>Pettits Lane North<br>junction | Havering Park,<br>Mawneys, Pettits | Provide pedestrian<br>refuges on Havering<br>Road arms, potentially<br>improve existing refuges<br>on other two arms | Feasible, but not funded. Would require carriageway widening to achieve. Would make crossing the road easier for pedestrians.   |
| Page 3 <sup>5</sup> | Ockendon Road,<br>near Sunnings<br>Lane                             | Upminster                          | Pedestrian refuge  | Feasible, but not funded. In the 3-years to July 2014, 2 injury collisions were recorded in the local vicinity. 21/5/12 5 cars involved, 1 slight injury. Junction with Sunnings Lane caused by U-turning driver. 2/9/13 1 car, 1 motorcycle, serious injury to motorcyclist. 50m east of Sunnings Lane caused by U-turning driver failed to see motorcyclist overtaking. |

| Item<br>Ref        | Location                                       | Ward                      | Description   | Decision  |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|
| <sup>C6</sup> Page | Dagnam Park<br>Drive, near<br>Brookside School |                           | In response to serious concerns for pupils safety, crossing the road to attend Brookside Infant & Junior School, request to reduce speed limit from 30mph to 20mph. | Feasible but not funded. Speed limit change alone unlikely to significantly reduce speed and traffic calming will be required, but such that is compatible with a bus and feeder route. Adjacent side roads may need similar treatment for local limit to be logical. |
| Φ<br>4<br>C7       | Faircross Avenue                               | Havering Park &<br>Mawney | Restrictions to prevent passage by HGV drivers who ignore 7.5 tonne weight limit.   | PROCEED TO EXPERIMENTAL TRAFFIC ORDER AND WIDTH RESTRICTION ON FAIRCROSS AVENUE   |
| C8                 | Percy Road &<br>Linley Crescent                | Mawney                    | Closure of one end of<br>Percy Road to prevent<br>rat-running by<br>innappropriate non-<br>residential traffic,<br>including HGVs. 51<br>signature petition.        | Feasible but not funded.  |

| Item<br>Ref | Location      | Ward       | Description  | Decision                                 |
|-------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
|             | Cedar Road    | Brooklands | Provision of experimental road closure to motor traffic to remove inappropriate commerical traffic and speeding drivers. | PROCEED TO EXPERIMENTAL<br>TRAFFIC ORDER |
| Page 5      | Sunnings Lane | Upminster  | Closure of street to through vehicular traffic near houses to deal with speeding and inappropriate use of street.        | PROCEED TO PUBLIC<br>CONSULTATION        |

This page is intentionally left blank

London Borough of Havering Traffic & Parking Control - StreetCare Parking Schemes Applications Schedule November 2015

|      | Item Ref    | Location                                | Comments/Description   | Decision |
|------|-------------|---|--|----------|
|      | SECTION A - | Parking Scheme Requ                     | ests   |          |
| Dar  | TPC791      | Prospect Place, Collier<br>Row, Romford | Request to install double yellow lines one side of the road to improve access for council and emergency services.  | AGREED   |
| 10 7 | TPC792      | Margeret Lawrence<br>Clive              | Following the introduction of double the yellow lines at the junctions and apexes of bend in the area, residents have requested a residents parking scheme to prevent long term non-residential parking. | AGREED   |

| - | τ      |
|---|--------|
| 2 | ע<br>ר |
| 9 | D      |
| ( | X      |

| TPC793  | Hall Terrace, Harold<br>Wood | Requests from a number of residents of Hall Terrace to be included in the Residents Parking Scheme for the area | AGREED |  |  |
|---|------------------------------|---|--------|--|--|
| SECTION B - Parking Scheme Requests on hold for future discussion or funding issues |                              |   |        |  |  |